

John A. Parker.
June 18.

(Copied)

OFFICE OF THE

Great Western Insurance Company,

GREAT WESTERN BUILDINGS, NO. 22 PINE ST. 39 WILLIAM STREET.

New York, June 28th 1871.

Hon John Bigelow

Can of New York Bancroft

U.S. Legation Berlin Prussia

My dear Sir.

Your two letters

from London of the 19th and 20th of May were received in due course. They were carefully read, and although it was plain to be seen, that my proposition involved difficulties in the way of carrying it out, yet the manner of its reception as described by you, was as encouraging as could have been expected on its first introduction, and in view of the very important principle, internationally, and otherwise, which would be affected by it.

My success here is not altogether such as I could wish. I returned from Washington on Saturday 25th, & found your letter of June 9th from Amsterdam. and its contents accord very accurately with the impression that I had received at Washington, that the British Govt. is desirous of some arrangement that will take the

subject of claiming out of ^{the} Diplomatic relations between
the two countries, to be settled elsewhere with deserv-
-ing justice to both parties. and as a means to such
an end they thought my proposition worth considering.

Before I went to Washington I received a
letter from a friend, making enquiries about "Ala-
-ma claims," such as led me to believe that the applica-
-tion was from the British Minister Thornton. I an-
-swered it with a little erasure, but said, that I should
be in Washington in a week and would be more expli-
-cit. - that brought another letter from my friend in-
-viting me to the hospitality of his house, which I ac-
-cepted, and was pleased to learn that my friend, was
the friend also of Mr Thornton who called on me
immediately on my arrival at Washington.

His communication was frank and cordial, but
such only as the Diplomatic Agent of a foreign Na-
-tion might properly make. He said he had received
a communication from Lord Clarendon, chiefly of enquiry
relating to "Alabama Claims". He showed that he under-
stood thoroughly the nature of my proposition, and of the
suggestions which you had thrown out in regard to it.
He offered nothing and promised nothing. He said
decisively that in his opinion the Government of England
would yield no more than was yielded in the
Convention agreed to by Mr Rendy Johnson, but he

he left me to believe that the Johnson-Clarendon
convention, the spoliations by the "Alabama" species
were as good as admitted, and with the permission
of this Government England would be willing to-
settle with the individual claimants fairly and justly.

Here however my good luck ended, - Mr
Fish, appointed me an audience, at an early hour, it being
the Diplomatic day, but at the very first mention of a liberty
to be given for individuals to present their claims to the
British Government, he shook his head significantly, and as
conversation progressed he said, "The parties interested having
petitioned the Government to intervene and file their
claims in the State Department, - the Govt having accepted
the trust and already entered upon negotiations they could
not now permit the trust to be withdrawn". To my argument
that such a course was obstructing private interests he answered
that in matters when the Govt was concerned private interests
must surrender to public Policy, and that in this case it was
the Policy of the Govt not to let private interests intervene.

As I had sent a copy of my Petition to him some months
ago, and had written to some members of Congress to whom
the Petition was sent, that if Congress did nothing for us in
the present exigencies we should go to England for redress, the
idea was not new to him, and he has evidently been ex-
pecting some movement of the kind. As a palliation
however of his refusal to grant my request he said, very

explicitly that the Government would on the end assume all our claims. To this I replied that there were but two ways in which Government could do justly justice to its citizens in this matter, and that was, either to assume and pay these claims at once, or to grant them the privilege of collecting them for themselves. Much other conversation followed pleasantly enough when I took leave on the announcement of some of the Diplomatic Corps,

On the ~~following~~^{same day.} ~~saturday~~ I called on the President.

Having letters to him from Friends of his in New York his reception was as usual, - so I am told. - Respectful, not cordial, and rather stolid when he understood that I wanted something at his hands. It was not an office, for he has none in his gift that I could accept, but something I certainly did want, and on my explanation that I only wanted permission to go to England to collect what was due me from the British Government without the intervention of the U.S. Govt. he answered just what I wanted him to do. he said, "If the British Government owed us any thing and was willing to pay us that was nothing to them / that U.S. Government /. But he then went on to say - that I represented Insurance Companies, and in his opinion they had no claim on the British Government or any one else - that such claims belonged to this Govt / the U.S. /, that we charged a premium for the war risk and therefore we had been paid, and had no further claim on any one. - To this I replied, that I had always understood, that for the last four centuries at least

it had been the decided and uncontested principle
of law throughout Christendom, that the owner in case
of loss or capture of any kind succeeded to all the rights of
the assured, and hence if the owner of a captured vessel had
any claim, that claim was good in us as the assured. -

I think he saw his mistake and therefore ~~was~~ disposed
to say little more. I endeavored to come back to his first
remark but he was perfectly stolid. He did however say
that he would bring the subject of my application up at
the Cabinet meeting which was to take place ^{the next} ~~that same~~
day.

On the following morning I called again on Mr
Fish Secy of State, and related what the Pres had said
and I remarked to Mr Fish that if it were known
in New York that the opinion expressed by the President
in regard to the claims of Insurance Companies were supposed
to be largely entertained by the Members of the Government
and of Congress, I could and would, leave New York in
a week for England, with one million of claims in my
pocket for collection either with or without any permission
from the Government to do so. To this very bold speech
Mr Fish replied with a little sharpness, that there
was a Statute making it a misdemeanor for any in-
dividual to attempt any interference with the Diplo-
matic negotiations. - I replied that I did not pro-
pose to interfere, but simply to ask the British Govt
to pay me a private and just claim. -

Much more was said but without any excitement
on either side, and I think Mr Fish sees that
it will be difficult for him to persist in refusal.

The Statute of Misdeemeanors referred to by Mr
Fish was approved by Pres Adams, Jan 30th 1790
and concludes with the following provis.

"Provided always that nothing in this act con-
tained shall be construed to abridge the rights of
individual citizens of the United States to apply
by themselves or their lawful Agents to any foreign
Government or the Agents thereof for the redress of
any injuries in relation to person or property which
such individual may have sustained from such
Government or any of its Agents, citizens or subjects."

In writing to Mr Fish since my return I
assume that this Provis is a perfect authorization
of the rights claimed and therefore the Government
can not refuse to grant the liberty and aid over
wishes.

While I have been writing the above I
hear by Cabb of the death of Lord Clarendon
which may work some change but I hope no
injury to our plans.

It is evident that our Government intends
to hold their claims in abeyance for ultimate property
Mr Thornton thinks Canada is the object, and
that they will continue to entangle us. I shall write